

from Plaintiff since his original pleading was docketed on June 27, 2016. It thus appears that he either did not intend to file a complaint or no longer wishes to pursue his claims.

For these reasons, and because it does not appear that the relevant two-year statute of limitations would bar Plaintiff's from refiling his claims,¹ the present action is thus properly **DISMISSED** without prejudice for Plaintiff's failure to comply and/or diligently prosecute his case. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); *Brown v. Tallahassee Police Dep't*, 205 F. App'x 802, 802 (11th Cir. 2006) ("The court may dismiss an action *sua sponte* under Rule 41(b) for failure to prosecute or failure to obey a court order.") See also, 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b) (authorizing *sua sponte* dismissal prior to service for failure to state a claim).

SO ORDERED this 13th day of January, 2017.

S/ Marc T. Treadwell
MARC T. TREADWELL, JUDGE
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

¹ In the event that this Court is required to presume that the statute of limitations would bar refiling, the Court has also, in an abundance of caution, reviewed Plaintiff's extremely brief pleading under 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a) and finds that it fails to state a viable claim relief: No defendant is even identified.